

②

DOM

$$\frac{dI}{ds} = \vec{s} \cdot \nabla I = K I_s - K I$$

$$I = \int_{4\pi} I(\vec{s}) \vec{s} d\Omega = \sum w_i I_i \vec{s}_i$$

Quadrature is used in selecting the Directions \vec{s}_i & weight factors w_i

- use a symmetric set invariant to rotations of 90°

- Satisfy moments $\int_{4\pi} d\Omega = 4\pi$

$$\int_{4\pi} \vec{s} \cdot \vec{s} d\Omega = 0$$

$$\int_{4\pi} \vec{s} \vec{s} d\Omega = \frac{4\pi \delta}{3}$$

- Also, satisfy $\int_{\vec{n} \cdot \vec{s} < 0} |\vec{n} \cdot \vec{s}| d\Omega = \pi$ for $\vec{n} = \vec{i}, \vec{j}, \vec{k}$

See table.

$$\vec{s} = (\vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{i}) \vec{i} + (\vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{j}) \vec{j} + (\vec{s}_i \cdot \vec{k}) \vec{k} = \xi_i \vec{i} + \eta_i \vec{j} + \mu_i \vec{k}$$

WSG(Gr)

Absorption spectrum is widely variable, full of lines.

- Very hard to get an accurate representation of radiative intensities using mean absorption coefficients.
- See slides.

WSG(Gr)

Break up / Discretize the Spectrum into bands.

Assume Gray properties in each band.

$$\frac{dI_m}{ds} = -K_m I_m + K_m I_{bm}$$

$$\int_{\gamma_j} \frac{dI_m}{ds} = - \int_{\gamma_j} K_m I_m dy + \int_{\gamma_j} K_m I_{bm} dm$$

Let $I_j = \int_{\gamma_j} I_m dm$, K_j in band j (gray) = K_j

$$\frac{dI_j}{ds} = -K_j I_j + K_j \frac{\int_{\gamma_j} I_{bm} dm}{I_b} * I_b$$

$\underbrace{I_b}_{a_j}$

$$\frac{dI_j}{ds} = -K_j I_j + K_j a_j I_b$$

a_j is the fraction of black intensity in band j .

$$\sum a_j = 1$$

$$I = \sum I_j$$

(2)

- K_j , α_j are functions of temperature, pressure & composition.
- Boerdijk's Model

2) Gray Gases

1) Clear Gas

CO_2 , H_2O mixtures

$$K_j = \sum_{k=0}^4 d_{j,k} M_r^k ; \quad M_r = x_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} / x_{\text{CO}_2}$$

$$\alpha_j = \sum_{k=0}^4 b_{j,k} T_r^k ; \quad T_r = T / 1200\text{K}$$

$$b_{j,k} = \sum_{i=0}^4 c_{j,k,i} M_r^i$$

$d_{j,k}$, $c_{j,k}$ are correlated model coefficients

. Valid for $0.01 \leq M_r \leq 4$

. for M_r outside these bounds, K_j , α_j are interpolated between pure component values for CO_2 or H_2O and the corresponding bound 0.01 or 4.

RCSLW

(rank-correlated) Spectral Line Weighted sum of Gray Gases (3)

Even within a band, the gas is not gray, spectrum varies wildly.

→ wSGR above is more like a curve-fit for a given model formulation than an accurate formulation of the model itself given the gray assumption.

The SLW model is a wSGR model for which the gases are gray in a given band by construction.

Instead of integrating over a sequential band of wavenumbers η_j , SLW integrates a set of η for which the Gas Cross Section is in a given band, which is Gray to within the width of the band.

④ $\Delta_j = \{\eta : \tilde{\zeta}_{j-1} < \eta_j < \tilde{\zeta}_j\}$; $\tilde{\zeta}_j$ Denotes a cross-section boundary between two bands/gases

• Recall $K_\eta = C_\eta N$

$$\rightarrow \frac{dI}{ds} = -k_f I_f + k_f \underbrace{\int_{\tilde{\zeta}_{j-1}}^{\tilde{\zeta}_j} I_{b,m} dm}_{\Delta_j}$$

evaluate this term.

• before, in wSGR, this was written as $a_f I_b$, with $a_f = \frac{\int_{\tilde{\zeta}_j}^{\tilde{\zeta}_f} I_{b,m} dm}{I_b}$

• Here, it's similar; $\int_{\tilde{\zeta}_{j-1}}^{\tilde{\zeta}_j} I_{b,m} dm = a_f I_b$, but the bounds of the integration are "over the set of η ", Δ_j

• a_f is computed as

$$a_f = F(\tilde{\zeta}_j, \phi, T) - F(\tilde{\zeta}_{j-1}, \phi, T)$$

$$F(C, \phi, T) = \frac{1}{\sigma T^4} \int_{\{\eta : C_\eta(\eta, \phi) < C\}} E_b(m, T) dm$$

F is the ALBDF (absorption line blackbody distribution function)

ζ_0 is the fraction of black emission at some T arising from ~~cross sections~~ σ corresponding to cross-sections below some Given Cross Section C .

- F is like a Cumulative PDF.
- Varies from 0 to 1
- Is monotonic in C (which allows inversion)
- Can be computed from Spectral Databases,
- $F = F(C, \phi, T)$ where ϕ is some gas state; T, P, X_i
 - The T in ϕ can differ from the T in $F(C, \phi, T)$, w/ the latter being the T appearing directly in $\frac{1}{\sigma T^4} \int_{\{m: C_m(m, \phi) < C\}} E_B(m, T) dm$
 - and the T in ϕ appearing \uparrow , which determines the \int range.

In computing the k_j a logarithmic (Geometric) interpolation is used

$$k_j = N \sqrt{\tilde{C}_{j-1} \tilde{C}_j}$$

$$\log k_j/N = \underbrace{\frac{\log \tilde{C}_{j-1} + \log \tilde{C}_j}{2}}_{= \log(\tilde{C}_{j-1} \tilde{C}_j)^{1/2}} = \log(\tilde{C}_{j-1} \tilde{C}_j)^{1/2}$$

$$\rightarrow k_j = (\tilde{C}_{j-1} \tilde{C}_j)^{1/2} \cdot N$$

(5)

Spatial Effects.

The above formulation assumed spatial uniformity of composition, T , where Δ_f is independent of position.

$$\frac{dI_m}{ds} = -K_m I_m + K_m I_{b,m}$$

$$\underbrace{\int_{\Delta_f} \frac{dI_m dm}{ds}}_{= \frac{d}{ds} \int_{\Delta_f} I_m dm} = - \left(K_m I_m dm + \int_{\Delta_f} K_m I_{b,m} dm \right)$$

$= \frac{d}{ds} \int_{\Delta_f} I_m dm$, that is $\frac{d}{ds}$ commutes w/ \int , only if the bounds of integration, $\Delta_f = \{m : \tilde{c}_{f-1} < c_m < \tilde{c}_f\}$ are independent of s , (position)

- The set of m for given \tilde{c} will vary because $c(m)$ Dep on ϕ , which Dep on position.

$$\Delta_f(s_1) \neq \Delta_f(s_2)$$

- Need to account for the Leibnitz terms

- basically "impossible"
- big errors if you Don't.

- Soln is to reformulate so that $\Delta_f(s_1) = \Delta_f(s_2)$

→ How? → Δ_f is fixed $\rightarrow \tilde{c}_f$ varies w/ position.

Original method is the "Reference Approach"

Assume "ideal" behavior of the spectrum by defining a reference state ϕ_{ref} . w/ the property:

$$\Delta = \{m : c_m(\phi_{ref}) < c^{ref}\} = \{m : c_m(\phi_{loc}) < c^{loc}\}$$

→ AIRDF at c^{loc} & c^{ref} w/ same T_b are equal.

- See figures

(6)

$$F(C^{loc}, \phi_{loc}, T_{ref}) = F(C^{ref}, \phi_{ref}, T_{ref})$$

→ invert to find C^{loc}

Method.

1. choose ref. state ϕ_{ref}
2. choose set \tilde{C}_j^{ref} (log-spaced)
3. find local $\tilde{C}_j, \tilde{C}_j^{loc}$ by inverting $F(\tilde{C}_j^{loc}, \phi_{loc}, T_{ref}) = F(\tilde{C}_j^{ref}, \phi_{ref}, T_{ref})$
4. $K_j(s) = N_{loc} C_j^{loc} = N_{loc} \sqrt{\tilde{C}_j^{loc} \tilde{C}_j^{loc}}$
5. $a_j(s) = a_j^{loc} = \underbrace{F(\tilde{C}_j^{ref}, \phi_{ref}, T_b = T_{loc})}_{\text{ADF ref approach uses loc here.}} - \underbrace{F(\tilde{C}_{j+1}^{ref}, \phi_{ref}, T_b = T_{loc})}_{\text{ADF ref approach uses loc here.}}$

Rank-Correlated SLW model.

2 positions s_1, s_2 w/ states ϕ_1, ϕ_2

Have spectra $C_n(\eta, \phi_1), C_n(\eta, \phi_2)$

let $\hat{C}_1 = C_n(\hat{\eta}, \phi_1)$ at some given $\hat{\eta}$

$\hat{C}_2 = C_n(\hat{\eta}, \phi_2)$ " " " "

Different C 's at same η

$$H_1 = \{\eta : C_n(\eta, \phi_1) < \hat{C}_1\} \quad ; \quad \text{if } H_1 = H_2, \text{ Spectra are RC.}$$

$$H_2 = \{\eta : C_n(\eta, \phi_2) < \hat{C}_2\}$$

See figure.

R.C. is less restrictive than
the ideal spectrum assumption

$$F(\hat{C}_1, \phi_1, T_r) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{T_r}^4} \int_{H_1} E_b(\eta, T_r) d\eta$$

$$F(\hat{C}_2, \phi_2, T_r) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{T_r}^4} \int_{H_2} E_b(\eta, T_r) d\eta$$

$$H_1 = H_2 \rightarrow F(\hat{C}_1, \phi_1, T_r) = F(\hat{C}_2, \phi_2, T_r)$$

if \hat{C}_1, ϕ_1 are given, invert to get \hat{C}_2, ϕ_2

(7)

Holds for arbitrary ϕ

So, if some F is given or specified, invert to get
 \tilde{C} at given ϕ

Method

1. Set a T_r as avg T in domain
2. Set \tilde{F}_j with F_j between. These apply to all spatial locations
3. Invert: $\tilde{c}_j = C(\tilde{F}_j, \phi, T_r)$, $c_j = C(F_j, \phi, T_r)$
4. $k_j = c_j \kappa$
5. $a_j = F(\tilde{c}_j, \phi, T_{loc}) - F(\tilde{c}_{j-1}, \phi, T_{loc})$

For mixtures

$$F(c) = F_{\text{CO}}(c/x_{\text{CO}}) F_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}(c/x_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}) F_{\text{CO}}(c/y_{\text{CO}})$$

Examples.

- See Jupyter